UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,674	01/27/2004	Bruce A. Block	42P13747D	3027
8791 7590 10/18/2007 BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 1279 OAKMEAD PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			SCHILLINGER, LAURA M	
SUNNYVALE	E, CA 94085-4040		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
•		•	2813	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/766,674	BLOCK ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura M. Schillinger	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DOWN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailling date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-6 and 12-16 is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition and accomposition accomposit	withdrawn from consideration. r election requirement. er. epted or b) objected to by the language of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected to by the language of the drawing(s) is objected to by the language of the drawing(s) is objected to by the language of the drawing(s) is objected to by the language of the drawing(s) is objected to by the language of the drawing(s) is objected to by the language of the l	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/766,674

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kohyama et al ('639).

Kohyama teaches the following claimed limitations as cited below:

7. (Original) A method comprising: forming an interlayer dielectric comprising alternating layers of dissimilar dielectric materials in a multilayer stack over a metal layer (Col.7, lines: 45-57) of a device structure (Fig.2G (layers 15 and 16 are formed over layer 19);

forming a via having a corrugated sidewall (Fig.2F and 2G); and

forming a passivating layer on the decoupling stack (Fig.2H (15));

forming a decoupling capacitor stack in the via that conforms to the sidewall of the via (Fig.2H);

forming a conductive material in the via wherein the passivation layer is disposed between the conductive layer and decoupling capacitor stack (Fig.2H (15)).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,674

Art Unit: 2813

8. (Original) The method of claim 7, further comprising: forming the interlayer dielectric layer by depositing alternating layers of dielectric material of different etch selectivities (O/N have difference etch selectivities- Col.3, lines: 35-50).

- 9. (Original) The method of claim 8, further comprising: forming the via using an etch chemistry having an anisotropic etch characteristic toward one of alternative layers of dielectric material (Col.3, lines:55-65).
- 10. (Original) The method of claim 7, further comprising: forming the via by etching the via with a wet HF etch to form the corrugated vertical surfaces (Col.3, lines: 55-65).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kohyama et al ('639) as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Choi et al (KR 2001036045 A). Kohyama teaches the limitations above; however fails to teach forming the capacitor by ALCVD as recited by claim 11.

However Choi teaches depositing the electrode of a via by atomic layer chemical vapor deposition (Abstract/detailed description).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,674

Art Unit: 2813

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Kohyama's teachings to implement ALCVD to form the capacitor stack because as Choi teaches such a method is suitable to deposit a capacitor electrode in a via (detailed description).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6/26/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Kohyama teaches that the capacitor stack includes lower electrode 19; upper electrode 21, ILD 20 and insulative layer 15. However as shown in Fig.2H-there are multiple capacitor stacks formed at each fin of the MIM the capacitor stacks are separated by insulative layers 15 and layer 21 which is deposited into the via. Therefore Applicant's claim language is anticipated.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2813

date of this final action.

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura M. Schillinger whose telephone number is (571) 272-1697. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T, R-F 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl W. Whitehead, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hely

10/7/07

Laura M Schillinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 2813